IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

MONDAY, THE EIGHTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO.3036 of 1996

Between:

G.Christopher Livingston S/O G. Nalin Kumar, Student,
Vasavi College Of Engineering Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 The Convener, EAMCET-95,
Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Hyderabad.
2 The Government of A.P., rep by its Secretary, A.P.
State Council of Higher Education Department, Secretariat,
Hyderabad.
3 Dinaker Rajesh I, S/o Alexander I.J.D, Student,
R/O H.NO. 23-78/11/1, R.K Nagar,
Safilguda, Hyderabad
4 The Principal,
Vasavi College of Engineering, Hyderabad.

(R4 is impleaded as per court order dated 07.05.1996 in WPMP No.12065 of 1996)

....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a Writ, order or direction particularly in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the 1st respondent in re-allotting the payment seat as against the free seat already allotted to me, in his proceedings No.EAMCET-95/Comm.Admns/Court/FS/KITS/95-96, dt.13-1-1996 as bad, illegal, arbitrary and violation of principles of natural justice.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.C.DAMODAR REDDY (Not present)

Counsel for the Respondent No.1: MR. C. Kodanda Ram (SC FOR JNTU)

Counsel for the Respondent No.2: GP for Education.

Counsel for the Respondent No.3: Mr. B. Ranganadh Rao

Counsel for the Respondent No.4: None appeared

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

Challenging the action of the 1st respondent-Convener, EAMCET-95, Jawaharlal Nehru Technological University, Hyderabad in re-allotting the payment seat as against the free seat already allotted to him, the petitioner filed the present writ petition.

When the matter is taken up today, none appears for the petitioner as well as the respondents. By lapse of time the prayer in the writ petition has become infructuous.

Hence, the Writ Petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

_____

(N.V. RAMANA, J)

Date: 08.11.2004

Nsr

To

1 The Convener, EAMCET-95
Jawaharlal Nehru Technological university, Hyderabad.
2 The Secretary, Government of A.P.,

A.P.State Council of Higher Education Deportment, Secretariat,
Hyderabad.
4 The Principal,
Vasavi College of Engineering, Hyderabad.

5. Two CCs to GP for Education, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

6 Two CD copies.